


| Q1 | In | what | region | do | you | live? |
|----|----|------|--------|----|-----|-------|
|----|----|------|--------|----|-----|-------|


| ANSWER CHOICES   | RESPONSES |
|--|-----------|
| Africa   | 0.00% 0   |
| Asia   | 4.91% 8   |
| Australia/New Zealand/Oceania                                    | 1.84% 3   |
| Europe   | 43.56% 71 |
| Latin America (Mexico, CentralAmerica, South America, Caribbean) | 3.07% 5   |
| Middle East  | 1.84% 3   |
| US and Canada  | 44.79% 73 |
| TOTAL  | 163       |



### Q2 Which of the following applies to you:


| ANSWER CHOICES                               | RESPONSES |     |
|--|-----------|-----|
| Working group chair                          | 29.33%    | 44  |
| Author of a published RFC                    | 61.33%    | 92  |
| Author of an active internet-draft           | 66.00%    | 99  |
| Have posted messages to an IETF mailing list | 89.33%    | 134 |
| Total Respondents: 150                       |           |     |

### Q3 How useful to you were the following aspects of IETF 101?



|  | EXTREMELY<br>USEFUL | USEFUL       | NOT<br>USEFUL | NOT AT<br>ALL<br>USEFUL | NOT<br>APPLICABLE | TOTAL | WEIGHTED<br>AVERAGE |
|--|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|
| Formal technical discussions (e.g. working groups)                                 | 49.69%<br>81        | 46.63%<br>76 | 1.84%<br>3    | 0.61%<br>1              | 1.23%<br>2        | 163   | 1.57                |
| Informal technical discussions (e.g. casual hallway conversations)                 | 70.37%<br>114       | 21.60%<br>35 | 1.85%<br>3    | 0.00%<br>0              | 6.17%<br>10       | 162   | 1.50                |
| The opportunity to present new ideas<br>and/or suggest new ideas and<br>technology | 30.86%<br>50        | 48.77%<br>79 | 6.79%<br>11   | 1.23%<br>2              | 12.35%<br>20      | 162   | 2.15                |
| Social Interactions  | 48.15%<br>78        | 41.98%<br>68 | 2.47%<br>4    | 1.23%<br>2              | 6.17%<br>10       | 162   | 1.75                |


### Q4 If you attended the following events, how useful did you find them?



|   | EXTREMELY<br>USEFUL | SOMEWHAT<br>USEFUL | NOT<br>USEFUL | DID<br>NOT ATTEND | TOTAL | WEIGHTED<br>AVERAGE |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|
| Thursday Host Speaker (Rolling the DNS Root<br>Key Based on Input from Many ICANN<br>Communities) | 2.61%<br>4          | 11.11%<br>17       | 1.31%<br>2    | 84.97%<br>130     | 153   | 4.54                |
| IETF Hackathon  | 19.48%              | 8.44%              | 1.30%         | 70.78%            |       |                     |
|   | 30                  | 13                 | 2             | 109               | 154   | 3.94                |
| Sunday Tutorials  | 6.00%               | 3.33%              | 0.67%         | 90.00%            |       |                     |
|   | 9                   | 5                  | 1             | 135               | 150   | 4.65                |
| HotRFC (Sunday evening lightning talks)   | 15.58%              | 10.39%             | 1.30%         | 72.73%            |       |                     |
|   | 24                  | 16                 | 2             | 112               | 154   | 4.04                |
| Hackdemo Happy Hour (Monday evening   | 3.29%               | 7.89%              | 4.61%         | 84.21%            |       |                     |
| hackathon demo)   | 5                   | 12                 | 7             | 128               | 152   | 4.54                |

| # | SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THESE EVENTS (PLEASE MAKE SURE TO NOTE WHICH EVENT YOUR COMMENT PERTAINS TO):   | DATE               |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Hackdemo happy hour didn't really manage to draw many people in, it could have used some sort of incentive to increase attendance. Without people the demos couldn't have much impact.  | 4/24/2018 6:21 AM  |
| 2 | HotRFC: Not have it in parallel to the social, because all the people I wanted to socialize with went there :(  | 4/24/2018 1:50 AM  |
| 3 | From the feedback, I got, I was sorry not to have attended the HotRFC session. This has been reported to be very useful.  | 4/24/2018 1:26 AM  |
| 4 | To have more Hackathon meetings to improve the current interops   | 4/24/2018 12:44 AM |
| 5 | Beer at the hackdemo happy hour was ridiculously expensive  | 4/23/2018 11:40 PM |
| 6 | Having the Hackaton Saturday/Sunday is extremely inconvenient for people with families, I know that there are only limited choices where to place that event, but perhaps alternating between different weekdays from one IETF meeting to the next would improve that somewhat. | 4/23/2018 11:38 PM |


| 7  | Hackathon is simply amazing. We get a lot of work done and Charles has been doing an excellent job running the show!   | 4/23/2018 10:52 PM |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 8  | Do more lightning talks. Do talks like lightning talks that talk about the status of a various working groups.   | 4/23/2018 2:42 PM  |
| 9  | There was a surprising amount of talking at the Hackathon. It would be good if this could be entirely dispensed with, and people just given pointers to where they can read about the relevant information. The 1.5-minute summaries at the end were of questionable value. It might have made more sense to fold those into the hackdemo, which wasn't all that useful. Making the hackdemo a food event doesn't really seem all that useful. | 4/23/2018 2:35 PM  |
| 10 | Don't have the HotRFC session at the same time as the welcome reception.   | 4/23/2018 2:33 PM  |
| 11 | The thursday speaker is also so full as to be effectively not be accessible.   | 4/23/2018 2:23 PM  |
| 12 | Thursday speaker was interesting, but not particularly "useful" (actionable) to me.  | 4/23/2018 2:15 PM  |
| 13 | running-code-wanna-be's  | 4/23/2018 1:57 PM  |
| 14 | running-code-wanna-be's!   | 4/23/2018 1:57 PM  |
|    |  |                    |




### Q5 How did you participate in IETF 101

| ANSWER CHOICES  | RESPONSES  |
|---|------------|
| In person in London   | 84.66% 138 |
| Both in person and using remote participation tools while in London | 7.98% 13   |
| Using remote participation tools (Meetecho) while not in London     | 7.36% 12   |
| TOTAL   | 163        |

| # | PLEASE SHARE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR USE OF MEETECHO FOR IETF 101   | DATE               |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | I only used Jabber for remote access.   | 4/25/2018 8:55 AM  |
| 2 | It was generally excellent, but there were the usual issues of off-camera speakers/slides and speakers at the mike not introducing themselves.  | 4/24/2018 12:12 PM |
| 3 | The London Hotel was not very well distributed to encourage the discussion and meet people, I'm the impression to have lost half of the meeting   | 4/24/2018 12:44 AM |
| 4 | Still the best!   | 4/23/2018 11:38 PM |
| 5 | I did ~5 jabber scribe sessions, and I do them all in Meetecho. I type in the name of the person at the mic, and this is then sync:ed to video+slides+audio so it can help the note taker if there is any problem. I wish all WGs did it like this. | 4/23/2018 9:46 PM  |
| 6 | It seems to me that there are always issues in the Monday morning session. While there is lots of energy to iron these out, I wonder if we couldn't get them sorted out an hour earlier.  | 4/23/2018 2:23 PM  |
| 7 | I couldn't get Meetecho working at one of the WG meetings (where I had trouble seeing the slides in person)   | 4/23/2018 2:08 PM  |
| 8 | I had issues in Firefox with audio. Worked a little better in Chrome. I did log a help email with someone at Meetecho (i think) during the event, so that should be traceable   | 4/23/2018 1:59 PM  |
| 9 | Continues to get stronger. I observed much less trouble with remote presenters. It's now perfectly okay to present or participate remotely. We have come a very long way  | 4/23/2018 1:49 PM  |






## Q6 How would you rate the location? (London)

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |     |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Excellent      | 24.49%    | 36  |
| Very good      | 36.73%    | 54  |
| Good           | 22.45%    | 33  |
| Fair           | 11.56%    | 17  |
| Poor           | 4.76%     | 7   |
| TOTAL          |           | 147 |

| #  | PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT THE LOCATION THAT PROMPTED YOUR RESPONSE.  | DATE               |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1  | Confusing venue layout, poor provision of refreshments. Great Wi-Fi though!   | 4/27/2018 2:03 PM  |
| 2  | Easy to get to London (many flights, some people came by train); easy to get from airport or train station to the venue; lots of dining and convenient hotels at various price ranges                           | 4/27/2018 11:18 AM |
| 3  | Plane fare not too bad. Train to/from airport is good, though it was closed when I landed so I couldn't take it.  | 4/27/2018 6:50 AM  |
| 4  | It was a bit of a maze but rooms were comfortable (a bit heavy on the AC though).   | 4/26/2018 3:17 PM  |
| 5  | London was OK.  | 4/26/2018 1:16 AM  |
| 6  | The hotel was good but rather expensive, meeting rooms were far apart.  | 4/25/2018 8:34 AM  |
| 7  | The hotel is a dreadful meeting venue: the meeting rooms are too spread out, and there's no central space to meet. Makes it too easy to miss people, and reduces the important spontaneous social interactions. | 4/25/2018 3:38 AM  |
| 8  | When a man is tired of London, he is tired of life.   | 4/24/2018 12:43 PM |
| 9  | London is an expensive, disgusting hell-hole  | 4/24/2018 11:08 AM |
| 10 | Good for me, as I live quite nearby!  | 4/24/2018 7:50 AM  |

| 11 | distance between the 'wings' meeting rooms was rather far and prevented a 'very good' rating   | 4/24/2018 7:04 AM  |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 2  | London, Berlin, and Prague would be a great rotation for European meetings.  | 4/24/2018 7:03 AM  |
| 3  | Hotel price/performance ratio sub-optimal.Meeting room topology confusing.   | 4/24/2018 6:45 AM  |
| 4  | Hilton London Metropole is really not a good hotel, It would be very surprising to attend another ietf there.  | 4/24/2018 6:22 AM  |
| 5  | Hilton hotel in London is equivalent to Motel 8 in US. Broken furniture, smelly carpet, rusted bathrooms. Lobby, conf rooms were fine which does not necessarily compensate for horrible rooms.  | 4/24/2018 5:52 AM  |
| 6  | London I like, but the venue itself is terrible for casual interactions and meetings with people. It's all too scattered   | 4/24/2018 4:35 AM  |
| 7  | It's very expensive to stay there.   | 4/24/2018 4:04 AM  |
| 8  | I assume you're talking about the Metropole rather than London in general. The hotel was an abysmal place to actually stay. Sleeping rooms generally pathetic. Meeting rooms were passable. Actual quality of onsite food & drinks was very poor.              | 4/24/2018 3:14 AM  |
| 9  | Easy for me to get there from Berlin, familiar and really cute city, lots of restaurants nearby.   | 4/24/2018 1:52 AM  |
| 0  | Easy to reach with very good infrastructure (traveling in London, good hotels) but very expensive.<br>Cheaper hotels had the particular problem that there was no sufficient heating, so the first days<br>where temperatures were around 0° C have been hard. | 4/24/2018 1:32 AM  |
| 1  | London is easy to get to, but fucking expensive.   | 4/24/2018 1:07 AM  |
| 2  | Venue difficult to navigate. Area in London far from nice.   | 4/24/2018 12:35 AM |
| 3  | Close to home, familiar hotel  | 4/23/2018 11:42 PM |
| 4  | I try to avoid Heathrow whenever possible, and London is one of the more expensive capitals, but otherwise the location was OK.  | 4/23/2018 11:40 PM |
| 5  | Easy to reach from Europe, lively city, though a tad expensive (at least for Europeans ;)  | 4/23/2018 11:36 PM |
| 6  | Very expensive but convenient for travel   | 4/23/2018 11:27 PM |
| 7  | Apart from it being a maze to find rooms, it's excellent. Nice social areas to bump into people, good location near subway/heathrow express.   | 4/23/2018 9:47 PM  |
| 8  | Hotel heating system not as bad as the last time we met there but still not really good  | 4/23/2018 7:25 PM  |
| 9  | If the hosted city is achievable from inter-continental flights, that would be an advantage.   | 4/23/2018 6:04 PM  |
| )  | Room conditions very poor.   | 4/23/2018 4:20 PM  |
| l  | The location is too expensive, also presenting difficulties to obtain visa.  | 4/23/2018 3:08 PM  |
| 2  | A bit expensive, but easy to access and plenty of travel/lodging/food options.   | 4/23/2018 3:01 PM  |
| 3  | It was very expensive.   | 4/23/2018 2:37 PM  |
| 1  | London is great. The hotel was poor  | 4/23/2018 2:37 PM  |
| 5  | The hotels suck. I will never stay at either Paddington or the Metropole again.  | 4/23/2018 2:36 PM  |
| 6  | London is cool. So is Prague. Still, it would be good if the IETF were made aware that there are other cities in Europe — Paris, Madrid, Barcelona, Rome, Milan, Warsaw. Perhaps we could go somewhere else than just London and Prague?                       | 4/23/2018 2:32 PM  |
| 7  | The MetroHole is fine. I like Hilton points. The proximity to quick food is great.   | 4/23/2018 2:30 PM  |
| 3  | London is expensive. Thank goodness for the pound being so weak.   | 4/23/2018 2:29 PM  |
| )  | horrifying hotel   | 4/23/2018 2:21 PM  |
| )  | Excellent flight availability and transit connections (from airport and throughout London)   | 4/23/2018 2:17 PM  |
| 1  | the metropole is a bit of a disaster #MOARMAZE!  | 4/23/2018 2:08 PM  |
| 2  | Hotel is a bit of a maze, but facilities are good.   | 4/23/2018 2:08 PM  |
| 3  | London March weather was quite cool.   | 4/23/2018 2:04 PM  |


| 44 | Travel between meetings was occasionally tight  | 4/23/2018 2:02 PM |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 45 | Too confusing a maze, lowering chance of spontaneous meeting. Venue hotel rooms kind of crappy (non-renovated ones). Lounge area decent   | 4/23/2018 2:00 PM |
| 46 | hotel was expensive and cramped.  | 4/23/2018 1:58 PM |
| 47 | The Hilton London Metropole is a bit of a maze and fairly expensive. Airfare was pretty good, however.  | 4/23/2018 1:57 PM |
| 48 | Hilton was a bit hard to navigate but overall ok. The rooms however, were sub-standard for the cost.  | 4/23/2018 1:54 PM |
| 49 | The venue is HORRIBLE. People said this after the last London IETF too. It's so disjoint, there's no central place where you meet people (which is one of the most important benefits of the IETF). You can go a whole week without seeing people you usually bump into in other venues on a daily basis. The city is unnecessarily expensive; there are many cheaper cities in Europe (Berlin, Prague, Warsaw, Lisbon to name but a few). And I'm British btw - including plane fare all those places would be considerably cheaper. | 4/23/2018 1:51 PM |
| 50 | Not the most interesting location, and the funky layout meant a lot of rushing back and forth between far flung rooms.  | 4/23/2018 1:51 PM |
| 51 | Lots of stuff to see and do. A little pricey for everything, though.  | 4/23/2018 1:48 PM |



### Q7 Did you stay at the Hilton London Metropole?

| ANSWER CHOICES  |        | RESPONSES |  |
|---|--------|-----------|--|
| Yes   | 54.05% | 80        |  |
| No, I stayed at one of the IETF overflow properties (Hilton London Paddington or Novotel London Paddington) | 1.35%  | 2         |  |
| No, I stayed at a non-IETF property   | 44.59% | 66        |  |
| TOTAL   |        | 148       |  |

# Q8 Do you think the IETF should return to Hilton London Metropole for a future meeting?




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |     |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes            | 37.50%    | 54  |
| No             | 24.31%    | 35  |
| Maybe          | 38.19%    | 55  |
| TOTAL          |           | 144 |

| #  | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)   | DATE               |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1  | Think there would likely be more compact venues that are better value  | 4/27/2018 2:03 PM  |
| 2  | I kinda hate it, but we fit.   | 4/27/2018 6:50 AM  |
| 3  | A bit of a maze, otherwise no issues for me :)   | 4/25/2018 7:00 AM  |
| 4  | I would say "yes" for the meeting sessions themselves, but ISTM that the Metropole is always in a state of being repaired, for those staying at the Metropole. | 4/24/2018 12:22 PM |
| 5  | location is nice but hotel rooms sucks   | 4/24/2018 5:52 AM  |
| 6  | London, possibly, but better venue   | 4/24/2018 4:35 AM  |
| 7  | If mattresses renovated and proper luggage storage   | 4/24/2018 1:41 AM  |
| 8  | I enjoyed the venue (especially the scavenger hunt xD), but hope the IETF is not charged a lot for this hotel, it is quite old                                 | 4/24/2018 1:34 AM  |
| 9  | I hate the Metrohole, but if it's a choice between that hotel and not meeting in London again, I'll take it.   | 4/24/2018 1:10 AM  |
| 10 | In common with many London hotels it is overpriced and under quality   | 4/23/2018 11:55 PM |
| 11 | Having attended the last IETF in London also, I think Berlin and Prague were much better destinations  | 4/23/2018 10:53 PM |
| 12 | They REALLY need to finish their room refurbishment. I had a redone room that I thought was reasonable. A coworker did not and it was pretty bad.              | 4/23/2018 5:57 PM  |
| 13 | The hotel staff is incompetent, and the hotel very dated   | 4/23/2018 4:59 PM  |
| 14 | As long as more rooms have been recently renovated (i.e., no later than 3-5 years)   | 4/23/2018 3:41 PM  |

| 15 | The outfit of the rooms is confusing and the coffee-break sessions didn't provide enough food for the participants. | 4/23/2018 3:08 PM |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 16 | I don't want to go through UK immigration again. It was awful.  | 4/23/2018 2:37 PM |
| 17 | as long as there's nowhere else in the UK that we can use   | 4/23/2018 2:35 PM |
| 18 | It's a remarkably ugly hotel. The staff are professional, though.   | 4/23/2018 2:32 PM |
| 19 | The hotel works, but is run down, staff was rude, etc.  | 4/23/2018 2:14 PM |
| 20 | Sure, because it ticks people off. I have a nice hotel there so I'm ok with the location despite the rat-race-maze. | 4/23/2018 2:08 PM |
| 21 | Rooms are still sketchy but location is good  | 4/23/2018 1:51 PM |
| 22 | The hotel is poorly laid out. I heard many complaints about the state of the rooms, though that's hearsay.          | 4/23/2018 1:48 PM |

## Q9 We ran several experiments at IETF 101 and would appreciate your feedback.



Yes, I liked this


No, I did not like this 🛛 🗧 I did not notice / no opinion

|  | YES, I<br>LIKED<br>THIS | NO, I DID<br>NOT LIKE<br>THIS | I DID NOT<br>NOTICE / NO<br>OPINION | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Badge dots were labled (e.g., "IESG" written on the yellow dots)   | 46.94%<br>69            | 2.04%<br>3                    | 51.02%<br>75                        | 147   |
| Attendees were provided with a choice between white lanyards (ok with photos) and red lanyards (preference to avoid being photographed) at registration desk | 53.10%<br>77            | 15.86%<br>23                  | 31.03%<br>45                        | 145   |
| Blank badge ribbons (royal blue for wg chairs, pink for rg chairs) to personalize with their wg/rg acronym   | 31.29%<br>46            | 8.16%<br>12                   | 60.54%<br>89                        | 147   |

| # | PLEASE SHARE ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW WE CAN IMPROVE UPON THIS EXPERIMENT.   | DATE               |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Despite the on-list discussion, the red lanyards were not well understood by attendees.   | 4/27/2018 4:22 PM  |
| 2 | Send email to attendees regarding experiments that will be conducted at upcoming meeting.   | 4/27/2018 11:18 AM |
| 3 | The lanyards policy gut somewhat lost as many people were not aware of it (people grabbed red ones and wanted to get photographed / did not care) | 4/24/2018 8:44 AM  |
| 4 | Preprint WG chair badges with their WG name(s). The blue ribbons were too dark to read.   | 4/24/2018 7:03 AM  |

| 5  | The no photo lanyard stuff seemed to cause issues. I don't see how it fits within the intention of the note well framework. From what I saw it was a divisive issue.   | 4/24/2018 3:51 AM  |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 6  | Blank badge ribbons have been abused by some folks for silly jokes. Maybe better education on the badges' purpose is required.   | 4/24/2018 1:32 AM  |
| 7  | Most people did not know the difference in the color of the lanyards. This needs to be made more explicit.   | 4/24/2018 1:07 AM  |
| 8  | No point to the ribbons if the dots are labeled The red lanyard meaning was not sufficiently communicated. I saw many who took them simply because they liked red over white.  | 4/24/2018 12:06 AM |
| 9  | The photo policy is silly.   | 4/23/2018 9:47 PM  |
| 10 | I noticed the white/red lanyards, but was not informed about the functional difference. Personally I am happy with photoes, but unfortunately I choose a red one as I like it slightly more:)  | 4/23/2018 6:04 PM  |
| 11 | on the red lanyards. There is a guy taking pictures of others (with the red lanyards) who is wearing the red lanyards. It's a shame, but I'm wondering how anyone can enforce the rule.  | 4/23/2018 3:09 PM  |
| 12 | Badge ribbon use could be clearer  | 4/23/2018 3:07 PM  |
| 13 | Red lanyards were a brilliant idea. Please continue doing this.  | 4/23/2018 2:37 PM  |
| 14 | Appreciated the humorous badge ribbons from IETF 100; the selection seemed less at IETF 101.   | 4/23/2018 2:30 PM  |
| 15 | I think I need more PBR ribbons or maybe just more PBRs.   | 4/23/2018 2:30 PM  |
| 16 | Non-photo lanyards OK in principle but require people to make a public statement of not wanting to be photographed. In any case, they did not work as two pictures of me clearly wearing a red lanyard appeared on social media (pictures taken by members of the I*). | 4/23/2018 2:29 PM  |
| 17 | Pink and blue were bad choices reminiscent of sexism   | 4/23/2018 2:00 PM  |
| 18 | The blank ribbons look amateur   | 4/23/2018 1:59 PM  |
|    |  |                    |

# Q10 How productive was this meeting compared to other IETF meetings you've attended?




| ANSWER CHOICES                                  | RESPONSES |     |
|---|-----------|-----|
| More productive                                 | 14.86%    | 22  |
| About the same                                  | 64.86%    | 96  |
| Not as productive                               | 8.78%     | 13  |
| Not applicable (i.e. This was my first meeting) | 11.49%    | 17  |
| TOTAL   |           | 148 |

| # | COMMENTS  | DATE               |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Having a good central area to be social is important, the bar area in the Hilton was excellent for this, but also somewhat undersized at times with the groups.   | 4/27/2018 4:22 PM  |
| 2 | The venue is problematic, and makes spontaneous interactions difficult. Needs much more planning to get things done, and means opportunities get missed.  | 4/25/2018 3:38 AM  |
| 3 | Bring back the paper-based signup sheets for booking the rooms provided for side meetings. The new arrangement which forces people to use the wiki is not an improvement. It's clunky, obscure and not easy to use. | 4/24/2018 11:08 AM |
| 4 | First time attending the entire week, and it was really worth it!   | 4/24/2018 1:52 AM  |
| 5 | The WG I'm involved in is not advancing as well as I'd hope it would.   | 4/23/2018 11:40 PM |
| 6 | both my working groups had very low attendance, but we had very engaged participants and  | 4/23/2018 7:50 PM  |
|   | achieved a lot  |                    |
| 7 | achieved a lot I'm growing old.   | 4/23/2018 2:32 PM  |


| 9  | Some pretty grumpy people at ITF-101   | 4/23/2018 2:29 PM |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 10 | I don't know if it's for the hotel plant arrangement, but this meeting was a lot more friendly to side meetings and hallway conversations. | 4/23/2018 2:11 PM |
| 11 | Quite a few WG meetings were cancelled.  | 4/23/2018 2:04 PM |

# Q11 Which of the following best represents your reasons for not coming to London to participate in IETF 101 (check all that apply):



| ANSWER CHOICES   | RESPONSES |   |
|--|-----------|---|
| Too expensive in terms of travel cost and time                 | 58.33%    | 7 |
| Remote participation is sufficient for my level of involvement | 41.67%    | 5 |
| Other  | 16.67%    | 2 |
| Total Respondents: 12  |           |   |


| # | COMMENTS   | DATE              |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | I was put off by all the useless emails flooding my inbox.   | 4/23/2018 3:53 PM |
| 2 | It is many thousands of \$\$\$ to attend these meetings live when factoring in travel arrangements, room and board, and we are a nonprofit struggling for funding. | 4/23/2018 2:03 PM |



## Q12 How many IETF meetings have you participated in?

| ANSWER CHOICES                 | RESPONSES |     |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| IETF 101 was my first meeting. | 2.10%     | 3   |
| 5 or fewer                     | 20.28%    | 29  |
| More than 5                    | 77.62%    | 111 |
| TOTAL                          |           | 143 |

### Q13 If you participated in the following programs, how useful were they?




|   | EXTREMELY<br>USEFUL | USEFUL      | NOT<br>USEFUL | NOT AT ALL<br>USEFUL | DID NOT<br>PARTICIPATE | TOTAL | WEIGHTED<br>AVERAGE |
|---|---------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| Pre-meeting Newcomers Webinar<br>(March 12 or 13) | 6.67%<br>3          | 6.67%<br>3  | 0.00%<br>0    | 0.00%<br>0           | 86.67%<br>39           | 45    | 5.40                |
| Quick Connections (Sunday)                        | 15.56%<br>7         | 2.22%<br>1  | 0.00%<br>0    | 2.22%<br>1           | 80.00%<br>36           | 45    | 5.09                |
| IETF Organized Mentoring                          | 9.09%<br>4          | 2.27%<br>1  | 0.00%<br>0    | 0.00%<br>0           | 88.64%<br>39           | 44    | 5.45                |
| Newcomers Dinner (Monday)                         | 4.44%<br>2          | 11.11%<br>5 | 4.44%<br>2    | 0.00%<br>0           | 80.00%<br>36           | 45    | 5.20                |
| Newcomers Tutorial (Sunday)                       | 6.67%<br>3          | 2.22%<br>1  | 0.00%<br>0    | 2.22%<br>1           | 88.89%<br>40           | 45    | 5.53                |
| Newcomers Meet & Greet<br>(Sunday)                | 11.11%<br>5         | 15.56%<br>7 | 2.22%<br>1    | 2.22%<br>1           | 68.89%<br>31           | 45    | 4.71                |
| Newcomers Feedback Session<br>(Thursday)          | 4.44%<br>2          | 15.56%<br>7 | 0.00%<br>0    | 0.00%<br>0           | 80.00%<br>36           | 45    | 5.16                |

# Q14 What other information would have been helpful to you in preparing for IETF 101?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 156


| # | RESPONSES  | DATE              |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Advance warning about how hard it is to get tickets for the social event would have been really useful.  | 4/27/2018 2:06 PM |
| 2 | All my suggestions were discussed during the feedback session.   | 4/25/2018 7:02 AM |
| 3 | Everything was perfect for me  | 4/24/2018 1:35 AM |
| 4 | Better information about what was going on, without all the crap emails about what to visit in London.   | 4/23/2018 3:54 PM |
| 5 | If I'd known all the newcomers activities were Sunday & Monday, I would have arrived earlier than Monday!  | 4/23/2018 2:39 PM |
| 6 | The mentoring for remote attendees might be nice, especially from someone that attends remotely for the best tips on how to maximize the benefit of remote attendance. | 4/23/2018 2:05 PM |
| 7 | none   | 4/23/2018 1:51 PM |

## Q15 Did you apply for a visa to attend this meeting?



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |     |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes            | 3.75%     | 6   |
| No             | 96.25%    | 154 |
| TOTAL          |           | 160 |

## Q16 Which of the following best describes your experience in applying for a visa:



| ANSWER CHOICES    | RESPONSES |   |
|-------------------|-----------|---|
| 1. Very Easy      | 0.00%     | 0 |
| 2. Easy           | 66.67%    | 4 |
| 3. Moderate       | 16.67%    | 1 |
| 4. Difficult      | 0.00%     | 0 |
| 5. Very Difficult | 16.67%    | 1 |
| TOTAL             |           | 6 |

| # | PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE IN OBTAINING A VISA.                            | DATE              |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | waiting period of over 20 days, i know of several cases where visa did not come through on time! | 4/24/2018 5:58 AM |

### Q17 We are continuously working to improve the IETF meeting experience. Please use the box below to make any general suggestions for improvements to the IETF meeting experience.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 120

| #  | RESPONSES  | DATE               |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1  | The WG minutes should include URLs to video for each presentation. Meetecho should automate this (at worst, by having chairs pressing a key to indicate the beginning of a new slot)   | 4/30/2018 2:08 AM  |
| 2  | A few more timetabled social events would be great, and communal lunch / break space - for me, these are really important places to meet people but because they were shared with the wider hotel, it was less easy than at some other conferences. That said, I think the general structure is wonderful. The mentorship programme particularly is an exceptional way to make people feel welcomed into the community.  | 4/27/2018 2:07 PM  |
| 3  | 1. I heard several people say how much they liked the breakfast situation with big round tables, and how it helped them meet new people and learn about different areas. Stand-up / roam around social events are good, but the breakfast sit-down situation was great at enabling new connections. Anything we could do to repeat this sort of experience would be great. 2. Many people don't subscribe to meeting-specific attendees list because of excessive chatter. But then they often miss important advice (I talked to some who didn't know about changing old money, Heathrow Express app, etc.). I wonder if there could be a single summary email sent to general attendee list of important topics that were discussed on the meeting-specific list with links to archive threads for those who want more info. | 4/27/2018 11:45 AM |
| 4  | The Working Group Chairs should not be expressing their personal choices for upcoming votes IE TLS 1.3 at the Quick Connects. I lost total interest in finishing the sessions when this happened to me.  | 4/26/2018 10:12 AM |
| 5  | London was OK. London is a city that is as easy to get to as any in Europe- lots of flight options.<br>But not nearly as quaint and inviting as other cities, like Prague.   | 4/26/2018 1:18 AM  |
| 6  | I personally don't mind going to new places or those that haven't hosted a meeting for a long time.  | 4/25/2018 8:38 AM  |
| 7  | Ensure hotel doesn't block access to club for hilton gold members.   | 4/24/2018 6:52 PM  |
| 8  | Please give longer advance notice to register, book hotel rooms, etc for future IETF meetings.   | 4/24/2018 11:11 AM |
| 9  | London, Berlin, and Prague would be a great rotation for European meetings.  | 4/24/2018 7:04 AM  |
| 10 | I liked the scavenger hunt idea to mitigate the Meeting room topology problem, even though I was too late to get stickers at some of the spots.  | 4/24/2018 6:52 AM  |
| 11 | Please make sure that hotel rooms are at par with any other decent hotels. IETF WG meetings (at least some of them) are short and leaves no time for meaningful discussions. Like conveyer belt, people make presentation, and couple of questions. WG chairs should not be allowed to jam in topics.  | 4/24/2018 5:56 AM  |
| 12 | I am going to try again PLEASE publish the agenda earlier so that I have the option of coming for relevant days only. I have a family as well. When in Europe I can afford to book late because there are enough flights, but intercontinental I have no option but to come for the whole week. I know that some people consider this a feature, but I would feel more respected if I can make my judgement.   | 4/24/2018 4:38 AM  |
| 13 | South and South East Asian and Europe destinations only!!  | 4/24/2018 4:03 AM  |
| 14 | Please include the VAT in the quoted fee amount. When it came time to pay it being much larger than quoted was a surprise.   | 4/24/2018 3:58 AM  |
| 15 | Do. Not. Reuse. The. Metropole. Seriously.   | 4/24/2018 3:14 AM  |
| 16 | wifi connection  | 4/24/2018 2:21 AM  |

| 17       | The audio was suprisingly good. I suggest that a similar setup is used for future meetings with monitor speakers facing the WG chairs and the presented. There are some things that can be improved. This was discussed both on the IETF 101 list and I also believe a few ticked were generated on this subject (#211019).   | 4/24/2018 1:50 AM  |
|----------|---|--------------------|
| 18       | please put up more site maps  | 4/24/2018 1:48 AM  |
| 19       | I would have attended more recent IETF meetings in person if there had been, e.g., a 3-day-pass with lower fees.  | 4/24/2018 1:38 AM  |
| 20       | In my humble opinion, coffee breaks are too short, I usually don't have time to take a coffe and chat with participants before the next meeting.  | 4/24/2018 1:36 AM  |
| 21       | IETF Working Group chairs should be neutral. I'm very unsatisfied to see that IETF is just becoming a closed environment for the friends of WG chairs doing whatever they want, including doing a carbon-copy of text from another active internet-draft, posting it in another WG and the WG chairs adopting the document. This has happened at MPLS WG. Honestly, it feels like IETF is going to die. Its just there for the informal discussions.  | 4/24/2018 12:43 AM |
| 22       | Again, thanks for skipping the US (at this time) for IETF 102.  | 4/24/2018 12:10 AM |
| 23       | Make the room block at the hotels bigger so there is less competition. Also allow more flexible terms for adjusting check in and check out dates. This is critical if one must make the hotel reservation so far in advance of the meeting.   | 4/24/2018 12:09 AM |
| 24       | More accurate plenary timing estimate   | 4/23/2018 11:44 PM |
| 25       | The Social dinner in London on Tuesday was really really bad. I mean extremely bad. No offense to the sponsors, but it was horrible. No food. Perhaps the scheduling between continents could be improved. March (North America), July (Europe), November (Asia). Right now it is a bit random. For example, this year and next, we have the July meetings in North America. We have had Berlin and Prague in July earlier. It would be nice to have more of a set pattern and predictable behavior for us to plan our family, vacation and work. | 4/23/2018 11:05 PM |
| 26       | Please open the registration, especially the e-LOI earlier so that people can prepare their trip easier.  | 4/23/2018 6:07 PM  |
| 27       | Reduce the amount of Yang models in the main sessions. Give them their own, or a separate part of the agenda. Mixing them in makes it really tricky to avoid them.  | 4/23/2018 6:00 PM  |
| 28       | Overwhelming amount of junk emails from participants. Put me off going. Please find a better way to handle the communications.  | 4/23/2018 3:54 PM  |
| 29       | Scheduling, scheduling, scheduling. WG meetings conflict, nothing can be changed and then, during the week of actual meetings we see cancelations. And Friday. Shall we make it a full day? Because 90 minutes is too short for any meaningful discussion and there's no time for off-mike, corridor talk.  | 4/23/2018 3:28 PM  |
| 80       | Non-auditorium-style room setup as an option. A less spread out set of meeting rooms.   | 4/23/2018 3:06 PM  |
| 31       | I feel like greater effort needs to be given to ensure that the topics being discussed at in-person meetings are things that can NOT be discussed over email. I also feel that some working group chairs are not open to seeing a different point of view. In my opinion, working group chairs need to not have a dog in the race.  | 4/23/2018 2:47 PM  |
| 32       | At breakfast set some tables up as "talk to me" and some as "leave me in peace". This will result in some happier and better connected attendees.   | 4/23/2018 2:41 PM  |
| 33       | Real cookies and restart the ice cream social on Thursday afternoons.   | 4/23/2018 2:39 PM  |
| 34       | Audio was good this time. You probably heard the complaining about audio in Singapore. To me that is the highest priority. The Metropole venue is quite poorly laid out. I don't mind a bit of exercise, but the way the conference rooms are arranged is kind of pessimal.   | 4/23/2018 2:38 PM  |
| 35       | See above about going to other places in Europe than just London and Prague.  | 4/23/2018 2:33 PM  |
|          | Can I had badged with LEDs next time. Or, maybe lasers to shoot into the cameras to make sure   | 4/23/2018 2:31 PM  |
| 36       | they don't work?  |                    |
| 36<br>37 |   | 4/23/2018 2:31 PM  |

| 39 | One issue I had was that the IPsecME and the LAMPS WG meeting was scheduled at the same time. I had internet drafts that was presented at both meetings; I had to pick one of them.  | 4/23/2018 2:12 PM |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 40 | Having so many WGs not meet at IETF 101 made me wonder whether I was wasting my time.  | 4/23/2018 2:05 PM |
| 41 | The social was terrible. It was expensive, poorly put together, and poor choice of location  | 4/23/2018 2:00 PM |
| 42 | It is noticeable that meeting time in the IETF is reducing. Sessions are finishing earlier and starting later. Instead of this, why not spread out more? Fewer sessions per timeslot would mean greater chance of attending more interesting stuff. In all my 20 IETFs I've never got to saag, for example, since it's always clashed. | 4/23/2018 1:54 PM |
| 43 | Minimum cookie size. Require cooled cans of coke (minimum number). Haha! I do think mentoring took a step back. Something to work on.  | 4/23/2018 1:53 PM |

## Q18 In our IETF 102 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?

Answered: 26 Skipped: 137

| #  | RESPONSES  | DATE               |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1  | Maybe something like "How included did you feel?" I sense some people have a difficult time inserting themselves into social groups for dinners and during social events. Especially when English is a 2nd language.   | 4/27/2018 11:45 AM |
| 2  | For the survey itself - I would think that distinguishing among people who have attended more than 5 meetings would be useful. I'm at about 60, and it might be useful to see the difference between me and someone who is at about 20 or 40 (picking numbers at random, but you get the idea) Of course, you folks are the pros | 4/24/2018 12:25 PM |
| 3  | Stop asking "any additional questions?" questions. :-)   | 4/24/2018 11:11 AM |
| 4  | List a lot of places in South and South East Asian and Europe for a poll.  | 4/24/2018 4:03 AM  |
| 5  | Ask about the experience with the audio in the small and large rooms   | 4/24/2018 1:50 AM  |
| 6  | Maybe if reduced fee short-time passes would make more people attend (cf. #13).  | 4/24/2018 1:38 AM  |
| 7  | Feedbacks about the Hotel  | 4/24/2018 1:35 AM  |
| 8  | As about: - local eatery options being sufficient - ease of travel to the location - the quality, quantity and satisfaction with the break food and beverages - what other locations would be good to have an IETF   | 4/24/2018 12:09 AM |
| 9  | How long was your travel time to the mtg, and how many travel legs did it take. This will be useful in evaluating the reasonableness of some of the new locations being considered   | 4/23/2018 11:57 PM |
| 10 | Hotel cost / travel cost   | 4/23/2018 11:28 PM |
| 11 | Do we need to have 3 meetings or can we do with fewer? (Some people might even want more)  | 4/23/2018 11:05 PM |
| 12 | How much is too much Yang?   | 4/23/2018 6:00 PM  |
| 13 | Travel time from home to meeting? (35mins in my case - also tells you I am a "local")  | 4/23/2018 4:42 PM  |
| 14 | Separate host city from meeting venue in questions (or make it more clear which applies)   | 4/23/2018 3:08 PM  |
| 15 | Ask whether the person got up to the mic during sessions. Ask if they have contributed comments on a WG mailing list prior to attending.   | 4/23/2018 3:06 PM  |
| 16 | Access to food and other services. Ideally a location for IETF should have easy walking access to lots of restaurants and places to meet and gather.   | 4/23/2018 2:47 PM  |
| 17 | Was the plenary too long? (My answer would have been yes)  | 4/23/2018 2:39 PM  |
| 18 | Heck if I know! :)   | 4/23/2018 2:38 PM  |
| 19 | Would you have come if we'd met in San Francisco?  | 4/23/2018 2:36 PM  |
| 20 | Whether people would like to go to other places in Europe than just London, Prague and Berlin  | 4/23/2018 2:33 PM  |
| 21 | I would be interesting to see how people rate themselves on a scale of 1-10 for being a curmudgeon.  | 4/23/2018 2:31 PM  |
| 22 | Ask about food choices and options   | 4/23/2018 2:31 PM  |
| 23 | Did the Montreal location make it easier to get a VISA, or eliminate the need for a VISA? Did you attend netdev 0x12 conference that occured just prior to IETF102? Did you come to IETF102 because netdev was beforehand?   | 4/23/2018 2:27 PM  |
| 24 | Guestroom conditions at the host hotel(s). My room had chronic problems with temperature control. and the hotel windows were filthy.   | 4/23/2018 2:19 PM  |
| 25 | How many hours do you flew to get there.   | 4/23/2018 2:12 PM  |